UNITED NATIONS



Economic and Social Council

Distr. GENERAL

ECE/TRANS/WP.5/2008/6 9 June 2008

Original: ENGLISH

ECONOMIC COMMISSION FOR EUROPE

INLAND TRANSPORT COMMITTEE

Working Party on Transport Trends and Economics

Twenty-first session Geneva, 9-10 September 2008 Item 9 of the provisional agenda

BIENNIAL EVALUATION 2008-2009

Note by the secretariat

I. MANDATE

- 1. Following the request of the Commission to the Sectoral Committees of the UNECE, to conduct biennial evaluations of their respective sub-programme performance for the 2008-2009 biennium, the Inland Transport Committee (ITC) endorsed at its session in February 2008 for each cluster of its activities an expected accomplishment, together with related indicators of achievement and measurement methodologies. In 2010, the Committee would be invited to review the draft accomplishment accounts prepared for each expected accomplishment by the secretariat, discuss the lessons learned and take programmatic measures, if appropriate.
- 2. One of the indicators of achievement chosen to evaluate the performance of the cluster 'Transport Trends and Economics' is defined as "Level of satisfaction of participants regarding usefulness of information, meetings and activities as reflected in surveys to be conducted" (Bureau Informal Document No.11, 2008, p. 4). The questionnaire attached will provide a valuable input to the estimation of this indicator. It should be completed by delegates at the end of the session and submitted to the secretariat.

UNECE Working Party on Transport Trends and Economics 21st session, Geneva, 9-10 September 2008

QUESTIONNAIRE

In our efforts to keep improving this event, we would appreciate your views. Please complete the following short questionnaire and return it to the secretariat.

Name	
Country	
Position/Institution	
1. Did the meeting meet your expectations?	
2. If you answered "no", please elaborate	
3. Do you have any comments on the meeting's agenda in terms of its	
□ Content:	
E. François	
□ Format:	
□ Other aspects:	
4. Overall, do you think that the Meeting documents were:	
□ relevant	
□ relevant but too technical	
□ relevant but too general	
□ not at all relevant.	
5. Do you think that enough time was given for (please answer yes or no):	
a) the presentations	
b) the discussions	

ECE/TRANS/WP.5/2008/6 page 3

If you	answered "no", please elaborate
	you think that the duration of the session should be:
□ Re	educed,
	ncreased
□ K 6	ept as is
7. Do y	you think that the Meeting's agenda should be:
	hortened to exclude some of the current topics
	xtended to include new topics
□ K €	ept as is
8. Did	you find the Meeting well organized?
	es
□ No	o
If you	answered "no", please elaborate
9. Wha	at could be improved in the future meetings?
	other comments?
